

Public Diplomacy (Gr. RRII+Derecho)

Teaching guide 2023-24

COURSE OVERVIEW

Course description: Based on preliminary ideas discussed on *International Communication* and *Public Opinion*, this course examines in-depth concepts, processes, institutions, and practices of current public diplomacy strategies. It will consider how policymakers, diplomats, and non-state leaders are adapting to the public dimension of international relations when addressing specific issues or targeting particular audiences. The emergence of non-state actors and the use of digital media platforms will be particularly analysed. The course will attempt to develop students' skills for critical analysis of central questions and issues in the field.

- Titulación: International Relations and Law
- **Módulo/Materia**: Module I: Fundamentals of international relations. Area 2: Political, economic and legal foundations.
- ECTS: 3 ECTS
- Curso, semestre: 3rd year, 2nd semester.
- Carácter: Compulsory
- **Profesorado**: Adriana Gordejuela (<u>agordejuela@unav.es</u>) and Miren Gutiérrez (<u>m. gutierrez@deusto.es</u>).
- Idioma: English
- Aula, Horario: Room B2 (Amigos), Tuesday 3.30 pm 5.30 pm.

LEARNING OUTCOMES

CG04 - Use English in a proper way according to the scientific and academic terminology of International Relations.

CG05 - To know how to express oneself orally in a correct and adequate manner on international issues.

CG07 - Critically evaluate the international reality and its specific problems.

- CB1 That students have demonstrated to possess and understand knowledge in an area of study that starts from the basis of general secondary education, and is usually found at a level that, while relying on advanced textbooks, also includes some aspects that involve knowledge from the forefront of their field of study.
- CB3 That students have the ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their area of study) to make judgments that include reflection on relevant social, scientific or ethical issues.
- CB4 That students can transmit information, ideas, problems and solutions to both specialized and non-specialized audiences.
- CB5 That students have developed those learning skills necessary to undertake further studies with a high degree of autonomy.



CE20 - Evaluate international relations and each of its sociological, historical, legal, political and economic components.

CE01 - Know the concepts and techniques applied to the analysis of international actors and relations.

CE07 - To know the characteristics of world geopolitics and the concept of the so-called international society.

CE13 - Know and analyze contemporary political theories and ideologies.

SYLLABUS

PART I: Fundamentals of Public Diplomacy

- 1. Introduction to Public Diplomacy
- 2. Definition and concept
- 3. Dimensions and objectives
- 4. Communication and Public Diplomacy

PART II: Audiovisual perspective on Public Diplomacy

- 1. Audiovisual media and soft power
- 2. Western soft power: the UK and the US
- 3. Eastern soft power: Japan, South Korea, and China

COURSEWORK

- 1. Lectures (22 hours)
- 2. Guided work and others (5 hours)
- 3. Personal study or work (46 hours)
- 4. Exams (2 hours)

Total: 75 hours

ASSESSMENT

The final grade for the course is based on the elements listed below. **Both the practical and theoretical sections must be passed** in order to pass the course. Failure to achieve 5 points in either section will result in a retake in June.

ORDINARY SESSION EXAM

I. Theoretical part (Final Exam) - 50% of the final mark

It will consist of a number of questions in which the student must show the ability to connect ideas and demonstrate the acquisition of the theoretical knowledge taught in the subject.



II. Practical part - 50% of the final mark

a) Group exercise with oral presentation (30%)

Working in groups, students will prepare an oral presentation on a public diplomacy topic. A list of possible topics will be provided (such as historical public diplomacy strategies, actors, and events), but students are also encouraged to research new topics on their own. Once a topic is chosen by the group, students must prepare and present the project to the rest of the class. The professor will guide both the selection of the topic and the subsequent discussion. The oral presentation can include graphs, pictures, audiovisual elements, and PowerPoint. Both the research and the presentation will be evaluated. The presentation will be followed by a debate moderated by the professor and attended by the rest of the students. The grade will be the same for all group members.

b) Class activities (20%)

EXTRAORDINARY SESSION EXAM

The grade of the practical part of the ordinary session will be kept. In case any of the practical parts is failed, the June exam will have more weight in the final grade:

- Final exam 50% (if the practical part has been passed)
- Final exam 70% (if the class exercises have not been passed)
- Final exam 80% (if the oral presentation has not been passed)

The University of Navarra promotes the ethical use of documentary sources and information resources. For this reason, **plagiarism** is not permitted and will be penalized in all assignments, exercises and exams. Plagiarism is considered to be the submission of a work that reproduces, in whole or in part, the textual, graphic and/or audiovisual content of others without proper attribution.

OFFICE HOURS

Dr. Adriana Gordejuela (agordejuela@unav.es)

- Office 0541, Edificio Ismael Sánchez Bella.
- Make an appointment.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND RESOURCES

Working materials in a variety of formats (texts, videos, podcasts, etc.) will be provided in class.



Additional bibliography

Apostolicas P. 2019, fall. Silicon States: How Tech Titans Are Acquiring State-Like Powers. Harvard International Review, 40(4), 18-21. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/26917261.pdf? casa token=HbL7q4V6axkAAAAA:

OuNPVuxfQgLhL842mdGlMmZOWrkBTCZjwdY8Wk8EfR2Dx7suTFW-22_jaRBs2VD7BlqUDyNcaulYkZrQk6BMjs_md4e9GLiuDtibZ70LBotShdwbiQ

Cevik, S. 2014, May 27. The Rise of NGOs: Islamic faith Diplomacy. Retrieved from https://www.uscpublicdiplomacy.org/blog/rise-ngos-islamic-faith-diplomacy

Kellett, M. & Kerr-Stevens, J. 2018. "Fighting Back: Fake News, Disinformation and the Question of Sharp Power." USC Center on Public Diplomacy. Available at: https://www.uscpublicdiplomacy.org/blog/fighting-back-fake-news-disinformation-and-question-sharp-power

Manor, I. 2018. "Why is Public Diplomacy Data-Driven? A Response to Bean & Comor". Exploring Digital Diplomacy. Available at: https://digdipblog.com/2017/08/13/all-azimuth-a-response-to-bean-comor/

Melissen, J. 2005. "The New Public Diplomacy: Between Theory and Practice." In Melissen, J. (ed.). *The New Public Diplomacy,* 3-23. New York: Palgrave.

Misyuk, I. 2013. "Propaganda and Public Diplomacy: The Problem of Differentiation." Unpublished manuscript, Lviv Polytechnic National University. Available at: https://ena.lpnu.ua:8443/server/api/core/bitstreams/7665b8fe-5c8e-44f6-b7d0-1f74dcad86e3/content

Monji, K. 2010, winter. Pop Culture Diplomacy. Public Diplomacy Magazine (issue 3), 104-108.

Nye, J.S. 2008. "Public Diplomacy and Soft Power." The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 616(1). Available at: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/epdf/10.1177/0002716207311699

Snow, Nancy. 2009. *Handbook of Public Diplomacy*. London: Routledge. <u>Localízalo en la Biblioteca</u>

Other recommended readings

Alford, Matthew. 2017. "Washington DC's role behind the scenes in Hollywood goes deeper than you think". The Independent. https://www.independent.co.uk/voices/hollywood-cia-washington-dc-films-fbi-24-intervening-close-relationship-a7918191.html

Bohman, James. 2004. 'Expanding Dialogue: The Internet, the Public Sphere, and Prospects for Transnational Democracy'. In After Habermas: New Perspectives on the Public Sphere, edited by Nick Crossley and John Michael Roberts, 131-155. Oxford, Malden: Wiley-Blackwell. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1467-954X.2004.00477.x

Castells, Manuel and Cardoso, Gustavo, eds. 2005. "The Network Society: From Knowledge to Policy". Johns Hopkins Center for Transatlantic Relations. 2005.

Castells, Manuel. 2009. Communication Power. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Castells, Manuel. 2010. The Rise of the Network Society, Volume I: The Information Age: Economy, Society, and Culture (Information Age Series). Wiley-Blackwell.



Celikates, Robin. 2015. 'Digital Publics, Digital Contestation: A New Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere?' In Transformations of Democracy: Crisis, Protest, and Legitimation, edited by Robin Celikates, Regina Kreide, and Tilo Wesche. London: Rowman & Littlefield International.

Chomsky, Noam. 1997. "What Makes Mainstream Media Mainstream". ZMagazine.

Chomsky, Noan and Herman, Edward S. 1988. Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media. New York: Pantheon Books.

Dean, Jodi. 2003. 'Why the Net Is Not a Public Sphere'. Constellations 10 (1):95-112.

Goodman, Michael B. 2006." The role of business in public diplomacy". Journal Of Business Strategy 27 (3): 5-7. http://europa2020.spiruharet.ro/fisiere/Diplomatie%20publica/PD_65.pdf /Marketing%20international/Marketing%20pentru%20Diplomatie%20publica/PD_65.pdf

Gutiérrez, Miren. 2018. Data activism and social change. London: Palgrave Pivot.

Kunczik, Michael. 2009. "Transnational Public Relations By Foreign Governments" in Jennings Bryant and Dolf Zillmann (eds.). The Global Public Relations Handbook London and New York: Routledge. 845-872.

Lippmann, Walter. 1998 [1922]. Public Opinion. New York: Macmillan.

Mateus, Samuel. 2011. 'Public as Social Experience.' Comunicação e Sociedade 19: 275-286.

Melissen, Jan. 2005. *The New Public Diplomacy: Soft Power in International Relations* . London: Palgrave Macmillan. Localízalo en la Biblioteca

Milan, Stefania, and Miren Gutiérrez. 2015. "Citizens' media meets big data: the emergence of data activism". Mediaciones 11 (14): 120-133.

Ogoura, K. 2009. *Japan's Cultural Diplomacy.* The Japan Foundation. ISBN: 978-4-87540-107-0.

Shearer, D. 2014, summer. To Play Ball, Not Make War. *Harvard International Review Volume 36* (issue 1), 53-57.